

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
10

11 People of the State of California, et al.

12 v.

13 Meta Platforms, Inc., Instagram, LLC, Meta
14 Payments, Inc., Meta Platforms Technologies,
15 LLC
16 -----

17 IN RE: SOCIAL MEDIA ADOLESCENT
18 ADDICTION/PERSONAL INJURY PRODUCTS
19 LIABILITY LITIGATION

20 THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

4:23-cv-05448.

MDL No. 3047

Case No.: 4:23-cv-05448-YGR

**DECLARATION OF MEGAN O'NEILL
IN SUPPORT OF STIPULATED
REQUEST FOR AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER EXTENDING THE STATE
ATTORNEYS GENERAL'S TIME TO
MOVE TO STRIKE ANY PORTION OF
THE META DEFENDANTS' ANSWER**

Judge: Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers

Magistrate Judge: Hon. Peter H. Kang

21 I, MEGAN O'NEILL, declare and state as follows:

22 1. I am a Deputy Attorney General in the Consumer Protection Section of the California
23 Department of Justice. I am a member of good standing of the State Bar of California. I make this
24 declaration based on my own personal knowledge. If called upon to testify, I could and would testify
25 completely to the truth of the matters stated herein.
26
27
28

2. I submit this declaration, as required by Civil Local Rule 6-2, in support of the Stipulated Request for Order Extending the State Attorneys General's Time to Move to Strike any Portion of the Meta Defendants' Answer.

3. Meta filed its Answer to the State Attorneys General’s (“State AGs”) Complaint on October 17, 2024 (the Answer). Dkt. No. 125;

4. Meta's Answer includes sixty (60) paragraphs of purported affirmative defenses;

5. The State AGs believe that certain defenses are improper, and have been working diligently to evaluate the Answer and consult with their coalition regarding a motion to strike under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(f). Such a motion would currently be due on November 7, 2024;

6. On November 4, 2024, I contacted counsel for Meta regarding the State AGs' intent to move to strike portions of the Answer. In response, counsel for Meta informed the State AGs that Meta intends to file an amended answer and is willing to confer with the State AGs about affirmative defenses the State AGs may move to strike;

7. The Parties have agreed that they will confer over the next ten (10) days regarding a schedule for both the filing of an amended answer and any motion to strike, including to establish agreed-upon deadlines for any amended answer, motion to strike, and subsequent briefing;

8. The State AGs have consented to the filing of Meta's amended answer on a date to be agreed upon by the Parties after November 7, 2024;

9. The Parties agree that they will submit proposed deadlines for the filing of Meta’s amended answer and the State AGs’ motion to strike by no later than November 20, 2024, and that in the meantime an extension of the State AGs’ time to file a motion to strike any portion of the Answer to November 27, 2024, would allow adequate time for the Parties to complete this meet-and-confer process;

10. There have been no previous time modifications in this case with respect to the filing of Meta's amended answer or the State AGs' response to Meta's Answer. The Court recently granted an extension of discovery, Rule 702, and summary judgment deadlines that were mutually agreed on by the

1 parties. (*In re: Social Media Adolescent Addiction/Personal Injury Products Liability Litigation*, No. 4:22-
2 md-03047 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 29, 2024), Dkt. No. 1290);

3 11. The Parties agree that the relief sought in the Stipulated Request will not affect the schedule
4 of this case.

5 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on November
6 6, 2024, in San Francisco, California.

7
8 DATED: November 6, 2024

9 /s/ Megan O'Neill

10 MEGAN O'NEILL

11 Deputy Attorney General
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28